Exhibit 2
Electric utility industry credit ratings distribution evolution
(S&P Credit Ratings Distribution, U.S. Shareholder-Owned Electric Utilities)



Source: Standard & Poor's, Macquarie Capital

As we look at the electric utility sector today, investors, for the most part, remain confident that the regulatory model will be applied fairly to provide them with the opportunity to earn a reasonable and fair return on their investment. Those states that have experienced prior upheavals in their regulatory model (e.g., California) have had to tighten their approach to regulatory cost recovery to convince investors that past problems have been addressed. If a state has not been as receptive to addressing its approach to past problems, then investors will be highly reticent to deploy capital in those jurisdictions.

In reviewing recent sector research reports, the majority of security analysts continue to project future earnings levels based on assumed capital-investment levels and projected costs of capital (a bottoms-up approach). While analysts acknowledge that each rate case carries some degree of uncertainty, there appears to be limited focus in their analysis on service area quality, competitiveness of customer pricing, and the drivers for future service territory growth. No other significant industry is analyzed by Wall Street on a bottoms-up basis; the basis for analysis of non-utility industries is competitive position, sales prospects, and sales margins. In addition, the threat of disruptive forces is given no (or almost no) printed lines in utility sector research. This approach to investment analysis is based upon confidence in utilities' ability to earn a fair return on prudent investment. But, it may expose investors to the future economic risks posed by rapid growth in DER. What will happen as technological advancement in the utility sector provides customers with viable competitive alternatives?